

Aggregation of random coefficient AR(1) process with infinite variance

(joint work with Donata Puplinskaitė)

Contents:

1. Aggregation: from “random” Markovian short memory towards “non-random” long memory
2. Aggregation of infinite variance AR(1): common innovations
3. Aggregation of infinite variance AR(1): idiosyncratic innovations
4. Mixed stable moving averages & S α S stationary processes
5. Long memory properties of the aggregated process:
 - (a) Partial sums & self-similarity
 - (b) Codifference
 - (c) LRD(SAV) property
 - (d) Ruin probability
6. Concluding remarks
7. References

1. Aggregation: from “random” Markovian short memory towards “nonrandom” long memory

- Long memory and heavy tails are among the most widely discussed “stylized facts” of financial time series
- see e.g. Mikosch (2003): Modelling dependence and tails of financial time series
- Economic reasons for long memory (LM) are not clear
- LM can be partly explained by regime shifts and/or aggregation
- Granger (1980): (contemporaneous) aggregation of N random-coefficient AR(1) processes:

$$X_{it} = a_i X_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

- $\{\varepsilon_{it}, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: standardized i.i.d.; $a_i \in (0, 1)$: *random*, independent of $\{\varepsilon_{it}\}$
- $\{X_{it}\}, i = 1, \dots, N$: “micro-agents”; random parameter a : heterogeneity of micro-agents
- *idiosyncratic innovations*: $\{\varepsilon_{it}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$: independent (and identically distributed) $\implies \{X_{it}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ independent (and identically distributed)
- *common innovations*: $\{\varepsilon_{it}\} \equiv \{\varepsilon_t\}, i = 1, 2, \dots \implies \{X_{it}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ mutually dependent

- *Aggregated process:*

$$N^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{it} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \bar{X}_t \quad (\text{idiosyncratic scheme})$$

$$N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{it} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \bar{X}_t \quad (\text{common scheme})$$

- spectral density of $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ (idiosyncratic scheme):

$$f_{\bar{X}}(z) = (2\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{|1 - ae^{iz}|^2} \right] = (2\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{(1-a)^2 + 4a \sin^2(z/2)} \right], \quad z \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

- If the (mixing) distribution $\Phi(da) := \mathbf{P}(a \in da)$ has a regularly varying at $a = 1$ (= the unit root) probability density:

$$\phi(a) \sim \phi_1(1-a)^b, \quad a \uparrow 1 \quad (\exists 0 < b < 1, \phi_1 > 0), \quad (1)$$

then $f_{\bar{X}}(z)$ is *unbounded at $z = 0$ and has a power behavior with exponent $b - 1 \in (-1, 0)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\bar{X}}(z) &\sim \frac{\phi_1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-a)^b da}{(1-a)^2 + 4a \sin^2(z/2)} \\ &\sim \frac{\phi_1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{v^b dv}{v^2 + 4 \sin^2(z/2)} \\ &\sim \frac{\phi_1}{2\pi (2 \sin(z/2))^{1-b}} \int_0^{1/2 \sin(z/2)} \frac{w^b dw}{w^2 + 1} \\ &\sim \frac{C}{z^{1-b}}, \quad C := \frac{\phi_1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{w^b dw}{w^2 + 1} \end{aligned}$$

- The above behavior of spectral density is characteristic to LM
- By the classical CLT, $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ is Gaussian
- Granger (1980): Beta-distributed a ; Gonçalves and Gouriéroux (1988), Zaffaroni (2004)
- particular mixing density $\phi(a) \propto a^{d-1}(1+a)(1-a)^{1-2d}$ (corresponding to $b = 1 - 2d$) leads to FARIMA(0, d , 0) process $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ (Celov et al., 2007)
- Oppenheim and Viano (2001): aggregation of AR(p), $p \geq 1$ and seasonal LM
- singular mixing density (1) with $b < 0$: *nonstationary LM* (Zaffaroni, 2004)
- Common innovations: similar results
- Related problems:
 - *disaggregation*: statistical estimation of the mixing density from observed sample $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n$ (Celov et al., 2007)

- *aggregation of conditionally heteroskedastic models*: Ding and Granger (1996), Zaffaroni (2007a, 2007b), Giraitis et al. (2010)

Giraitis et al. (2010): aggregation of random-coefficient GLARCH(1,1) with common standardized innovations $\{\varepsilon_t\}$:

$$X_{it} = \varepsilon_t V_{it}, \quad V_{it} = (1 - a_i) + a_i V_{i,t-1} + ca_i \sqrt{1 - a_i^2} X_{i,t-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$0 < c < 1$: parameter; $a_i \in (0, 1)$: i.i.d. random coefficients, $a_i \sim \text{Beta}(p, q)$, $p, q > 0$.

Then

$$N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{it} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \bar{X}_t,$$

where $\{\bar{X}_t = \varepsilon_t \bar{V}_t\}$ is a stochastic volatility process with LM (for $0 < q < 1/2$)

- For $0 < c$ small enough and $0 < q < 1/2$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n^{q-1} \sum_{s=1}^{[nt]} (\bar{V}_s^2 - \mathbb{E} \bar{V}_s^2) \\ n^{q-1} \sum_{s=1}^{[nt]} (\bar{X}_s^2 - \mathbb{E} \bar{X}_s^2) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{D}[0,1]} 2Y_G(t) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (2)$$

where

$$Y_G(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \left\{ \int_{0 \vee s}^t G\left(\frac{W(\tau) - W(s)}{\sqrt{\tau - s}}\right) \frac{d\tau}{(\tau - s)^{q+(1/2)}} \right\} dW(s), \quad t \geq 0$$

- $\{Y_G(t)\}$ is a stationary increment self-similar process with index $H := 1 - q \in (1/2, 1)$

- $\{Y_G(t)\}$ is well-defined for any $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $EG^2(Z) < \infty$, $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ and any $0 < q < 1/2$, as a backward Itô integral
 - For $G \equiv \text{const}$, $\{Y_G(t)\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion
 - In (2), G is a special function expressed via the degenerated hypergeometric function
- *aggregation of autoregressive random fields*: Lavancier (2005), Azomahou (2009)
- Conclusion: aggregation of simple dynamic AR(1) equations can lead to well-studied Gaussian or linear fractionally integrated I(d) models with long memory

2. Aggregation of infinite variance AR(1): common innovations

Puplinskaitė & S. (2009):

$$X_{it} = a_i X_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (3)$$

$\{\varepsilon_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: i.i.d. in the domain of (normal) attraction of α -stable law, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$; $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_t = 0$

$a_i \in (0, 1)$: i.i.d., independent of $\{\varepsilon_t\}$; $\mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{(1-a^p)^{1/p}}] < \infty$ ($\exists p < \alpha$)

$$\mathcal{A} := \sigma\{a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Then there exist stationary solution $\{X_{it}\}$ of (3) given by $X_{it} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_i^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$ and

$$N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{it} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^j \right\} \varepsilon_{t-j} \xrightarrow{L^p(\mathcal{A})} \bar{X}_t := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

where $\bar{a}_j := \mathbb{E}a^j$.

- Assume the mixing density satisfies

$$\phi(a) \sim \phi_1(1-a)^{-d}, \quad a \uparrow 1 \quad (\exists d < 1, \phi_1 > 0) \quad (4)$$

Then the \bar{a}_j 's decay as j^{d-1} as $j \rightarrow \infty$ ($\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\bar{a}_j| = \infty$ when $0 < d < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_j &\sim \phi_1 \int_0^1 a^j (1-a)^{-d} da \\ &= \phi_1 \int_0^1 (1-v)^j v^{-d} dv \\ &\sim \phi_1 \int_0^1 e^{-vj} v^{-d} dv \\ &\sim C j^{d-1}, \quad C := \phi_1 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-w} w^{-d} dw \end{aligned}$$

- The case of Beta-distributed $a \sim \text{Beta}(p, 1 - d)$ leads to FARIMA(0, d , 0) process:

$$\bar{a}_j = \mathbb{E}a^j = \frac{1}{B(d, 1 - d)} \int_0^1 a^j a^d (1 - a)^{-d} da = \frac{\Gamma(j + d)}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(j + 1)}$$

- Under (4), $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ is a well-defined MA process in i.i.d. innovations $\{\varepsilon_t\} \in D(\alpha)$ iff

$$0 < d < 1 - (1/\alpha). \quad (5)$$

- LM properties of such moving averages with regularly decaying coefficients are well-studied: Astrauskas (1983), Maejima (1983), Astrauskas et al. (1991), Avram and Taqqu (1992), Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (1994)
- Under (4), (5), partial sums of $\{\bar{X}_t\}$, normalized by $n^{1/\alpha+d}$, tend to α -stable fractional motion, which is self-similar with index $H = (1/\alpha) + d \in (1/\alpha, 1)$
- $1 - (1/\alpha) < d < 1 \Rightarrow$ nonstationary aggregated process $\{\bar{X}_t\}$
- $\alpha = 2$: Zaffaroni (2004)

3. Aggregation of infinite variance AR(1): idiosyncratic innovations

AR(1) equation:

$$X_t = aX_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (6)$$

$\{\varepsilon_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: i.i.d. in the domain of (normal) attraction of α -stable r.v. Z , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$; $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_t = 0$

$a \in (0, 1)$: random and independent of $\{\varepsilon_t\}$;

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{1-a}\right] < \infty \quad (7)$$

$\{X_{it}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$: independent copies of $\{X_t\}$ in (6)

Then

$$N^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{it} \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \bar{X}_t := \sum_{s \leq t} \int_0^1 a^{t-s} M_s(da), \quad (8)$$

where $\{M_s, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are i.i.d. copies of an α -stable random measure on $(0, 1)$ with control measure $\Phi(da) := \mathbb{P}(a \in da)$ (the mixing distribution)

The characteristic functional of M_s :

$$\mathbb{E}e^{i \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i M_s(A_i)} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^m |\theta_i|^\alpha \omega(\theta_i) \Phi(A_i)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^m |\theta_i|^\alpha \omega(\theta_i) \mathbb{P}(a \in A_i)\right\},$$

$A_i \subset (0, 1)$: any disjoint Borel sets, $\mathbb{E}e^{i\theta Z} = e^{-|\theta|^\alpha \omega(\theta)}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

- If $\alpha = 2$, then (8) is Gaussian and

$$\text{cov}(\bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_t) = \sum_{s \leq 0} \int_0^1 a^{t-s} a^{-s} \Phi(da) = \mathbb{E} \frac{a^t}{1-a^2} = \text{cov}(X_0, X_t)$$

- (8): particular case of *mixed stable moving averages* (S., Rosinski, Mandrekar, Cambanis (1993))
- (8) is different from usual α -stable moving average except when Φ is concentrated at a single point
- different mixing distributions Φ lead to different processes $\{\bar{X}_t\}$
- condition (7) is precise: if (7) is not satisfied, the limit aggregated process can be degenerated and α' -stable, for $\alpha' < \alpha$
- $\{\varepsilon_s := M_s(0, 1), s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$: i.i.d. sequence of α -stable r.v.'s. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 a^j M_{t-j}(da) \middle| \varepsilon_s, s \in \mathbb{Z} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[a^j] \varepsilon_{t-j}. \quad (9)$$

(9) establishes a link between the aggregated processes in the idiosyncratic and common innovations schemes

- (9) follows from general interpolation formula (S., 1979)

4. Mixed stable moving averages and S α S stationary processes

A *mixed S α S moving average* ($0 < \alpha < 2$) is a stationary process

$$Y(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_W g(v, t - s) M_s(dv), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (10)$$

where:

W is a measurable space with σ -finite measure μ ;

$g \in L_\alpha(W \times \mathbb{Z})$;

$\{M_s, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are i.i.d. copies of a S α S random measure on W with control measure μ .

- mixed S α S moving averages generalize usual S α S moving averages and their sums
- finite-dimensional distributions of mixed S α S moving averages are S α S
- mixed S α S moving averages are ergodic and mixing
- The triplet (W, g, μ) determines the distribution of $\{Y(t)\}$ uniquely. The interesting question is to find conditions on (W_1, g_1, μ_1) and (W_2, g_2, μ_2) such that the distributions of the corresponding mixed S α S moving averages coincide: $\{Y_1(t)\} \stackrel{\text{fdd}}{=} \{Y_2(t)\}$

- S. et al. (1993): there is a 1-1 correspondence between the distribution of a mixed S α S moving average and a certain measure π on the unit sphere of the factor space $L_\alpha(\mathbb{Z})/\sim$ (with the equivalence up to sign and shift) (π is defined from (W, g, μ))
- mixed S α S moving averages play important role in the general theory of stationary S α S processes (Rosinski, 1995):

Any S α S stationary process $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ($0 < \alpha < 2$) admits a stochastic integral representation

$$Y(t) = \int_E f_t(x) M(dx),$$

where:

M is a S α S random measure on a measurable space E with σ -finite control measure μ ;

$$f_t(x) = a_t(x) \left[\frac{d\mu \circ \varphi_t}{d\mu}(x) \right]^{1/\alpha} (f_0 \circ \varphi_t)(x);$$

where

$$f_0 \in L_\alpha(E, \mu);$$

$\{\varphi_t\}$ is a nonsingular flow (a flow is a family of mappings $\varphi_t : E \rightarrow E$ such that $\varphi_{t_1+t_2} = \varphi_{t_1} \circ \varphi_{t_2}$ and φ_0 is identity);

$\{a_t\}$ is a cocycle (a family of mappings $a_t : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $a_{t_1+t_2} = a_{t_2}(a_{t_1} \circ \varphi_{t_2})$).

Using Hopf's decomposition (of a given flow into conservative and dissipative parts), Rosinski (1995) showed that any S α S stationary process Y can be written as a sum of two independent S α S stationary processes Y_C ("conservative") and Y_D ("dissipative"): $Y = Y_C + Y_D$.

Furthermore, any "dissipative" S α S stationary process is a mixed S α S moving average (Rosinski, 1995)

5. Long memory properties of the aggregated process

The aggregated α -stable process (mixed α -stable moving average):

$$\bar{X}_t := \sum_{s \leq t} \int_0^1 a^{t-s} M_s(da), \quad (11)$$

where

$\{M_s\}$ are i.i.d. α -stable random measures on $(0, 1)$ with control measure $\Phi(da) = P(a \in da)$

Assume that Φ has a probability density ϕ regularly decaying at $a = 1$ with exponent $b > 0$:

$$\phi(a) \sim \phi_1(1-a)^b, \quad a \uparrow 1 \quad (\exists \phi_1 > 0, b > 0) \quad (12)$$

We expect that for some values of the parameters α and b , the process in (11) will exhibit long memory, in a certain sense

5.1 Partial sums and self-similarity

DEFINITION 1 (Cox, 1984) A strictly stationary time series $\{Y_t\}$ is said to have *distributional long memory* or *distributional short memory* if there exist some constants $A_n \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and B_n , and a stochastic process $\{J(t), t \geq 0\} \not\equiv 0$ with *dependent increments* or, respectively, *independent increments* such that

$$A_n^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (Y_s - B_n) \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} J(t). \quad (13)$$

- Lamperti (1962): under mild additional assumptions, constants A_n in (13) grow as n^H with some $H > 0$ and the limit process $\{J(t), t \geq 0\}$ is *self-similar with index H* .

THEOREM 1

(i) Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $0 < b < \alpha - 1$. Let $H := 1 - b/\alpha$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n^H} \sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor n\tau \rfloor} \bar{X}_t \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} Z(\tau),$$

where:

$$Z(\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} (f(x, \tau - s) - f(x, -s)) \nu(dx, ds), \quad (14)$$

$$f(x, t) := (1 - e^{-xt}) \mathbf{1}(x > 0, t > 0),$$

ν : α -stable r. m. on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ with control measure $\phi_1 x^{b-\alpha} dx ds$

(ii) Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $b > \max(\alpha - 1, 0)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{[n\tau]} \bar{X}_t \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} \alpha\text{-stable Lévy process}$$

- 3 different behaviors of the AR(1) aggregation scheme:

$1 < \alpha < 2, \quad 0 < b < \alpha - 1:$ distributional long memory

$0 < \alpha < 2, \quad b > \max(\alpha - 1, 0):$ distributional short memory

$0 < \alpha < 2, \quad -1 < b < 0:$ degenerate: $\alpha(1 + b)$ -stable constant

- $\{Z(t)\}$ of (14) is H -sssi with $H = 1 - (b/\alpha) \in (1/\alpha, 1)$, has α -stable distributions and a.s. continuous paths
- $\{Z(t)\}$ of (14) is different from fractional stable motion
- Related α -stable H -sssi processes: S. et al. (1992), Cioczek-Georges et al. (1995), Cioczek-Georges and Mandelbrot (1995) (“fractal sums of pulses”)

5.2 LRD(SAV) property

LRD(SAV) = Long Range Dependent (Sample Allen Variance)

DEFINITION 2 (Heyde and Yang, 1997)

(i) A strictly stationary zero-mean process $\{Y_t\}$ is called *LRD(SAV)* if

$$\frac{(\sum_{t=1}^n Y_t)^2}{\sum_{t=1}^n Y_t^2}$$

tends to ∞ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) A strictly stationary zero-mean process $\{Y_t\}$ is called *SRD(SAV)* if the above ratio is bounded in probability.

- applies to finite and infinite variance processes
- requires finite mean (case $\alpha > 1$ only)
- If $\{Y_t\}$ are i.i.d., $Y_t \in D(\alpha)$, the ratio has a proper limit (Chistyakov and Götze, 2004)
- applicable to α -stable moving averages and some other classes of LM processes (Leipus et al., 2006)

THEOREM 2

(i) Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $0 < b < \alpha - 1$. Then $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ is *LRD(SAV)*.

(ii) Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $b > \alpha - 1$. Then $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ is *SRD(SAV)*.

- Thm 2 agrees with Thm 1

5.3 Codifference

Codifference (between Y_0 and Y_t) is defined (Samorodnitsky and Taqqu, 1994)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cod}(Y_0, Y_t) &:= \log \mathbb{E} e^{i(Y_t - Y_0)} - \log \mathbb{E} e^{iY_t} - \log \mathbb{E} e^{-iY_0} \\ &= \log \left(1 + \frac{\text{cov}(e^{iY_t}, e^{iY_0})}{\mathbb{E} e^{iY_t} \mathbb{E} e^{-iY_0}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- applies to finite and infinite variance processes (e.g., infinite variance moving averages)
- can be used to characterize the long memory of $\{Y_t\}$ and its intensity
- if $\{Y_t\}$ is a standardized Gaussian process then $\text{cod}(Y_0, Y_t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{cov}(Y_0, Y_t)$
- related measure of dependence: bivariate characteristic function (Astrauskas et al., 1991)

THEOREM 3 *Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $0 < b < 1$. Then*

$$\text{cod}(\bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_t) \sim C t^{-b}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$C := \phi_1 \alpha^{-1} \int_0^\infty [\omega(1) e^{-y\alpha} + \overline{\omega(1)} (1 - (1 - e^{-y})^\alpha)] y^{b-1} dy.$$

- $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} |\text{cod}(\bar{X}_0, \bar{X}_t)| = \infty$ for $0 < b < 1$: “long memory”?
- For $0 < b < \alpha - 1$: Thm 3 agrees with the LM characterizations in Thms 1 and 2
- For $b > \max(0, \alpha - 1)$: Thm 3 disagrees with the LM characterizations in Thms 1 and 2 ?
- Contrary to distributional LM and LRD(SAV), the *codifference measures the dependence in $\{e^{i\bar{X}_t}\}$ rather than in $\{\bar{X}_t\}$*
- We conjecture that for $\max(0, \alpha - 1) < b < 1$, *the process $\{e^{i\bar{X}_t}\}$ has distributional short memory*, more precisely, that

$$n^{1/(1+b)} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (e^{i\bar{X}_t} - \mathbb{E}e^{i\bar{X}_t}) \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} (1+b)\text{-stable Lévy process}$$

with $1 + b > \alpha$ ($1 < \alpha < 2$), despite the fact that the covariance of $\{e^{i\bar{X}_t}\}$ is not summable

- the above conjecture is based on corresponding results for bounded functionals of usual infinite variance moving averages (S. (2002), Honda (2010)):

Let $Y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varepsilon_{t-j}$, where $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ i.i.d., $\varepsilon_j \in D(\alpha)$, $c_j \sim j^{-\beta}$, and $1 < \beta < 2/\alpha$

Then

$$\text{cod}(Y_0, Y_t) \sim \text{const.} t^{1-\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{hence} \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} |\text{cod}(Y_0, Y_t)| = \infty.$$

At the same time,

$$n^{1/(\alpha\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (e^{iY_t} - \mathbb{E} e^{iY_t}) \xrightarrow{\text{fdd}} (\alpha\beta)\text{-stable Lévy process}$$

5.4 Ruin probability

Classical problem of insurance mathematics is the asymptotics of the *ruin probability*:

$$\psi(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n Y_t - cn\right) > u\right), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

where:

$\{Y_t\}$: random stationary (independent or dependent) sequence (“claims”)

$c > 0$: constant deterministic premium rate ($c > \mathbb{E}Y_1 =: \mu$)

$u > 0$: the initial capital (of the insurance company)

$\left\{\sup_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{t=1}^n Y_t - cn) > u\right\}$: ruin occurs at some moment $n \geq 1$

• The “classical” result in the i.i.d. claims situation is that $\psi(u) = O(u^{-(\alpha-1)})$. If $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 > x) \sim c_\alpha x^{-\alpha}$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$), $\alpha > 1$ then (Embrechts and Veraverbeke, 1982)

$$\psi(u) \sim \frac{c_\alpha}{(\alpha-1)(c-\mu)} u^{-(\alpha-1)}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

• (16) “remains valid” for weakly dependent claims

- Mikosch and Samorodnitsky (2000): strongly dependent stationary S α S claims $\{Y_t\}$. See also Alparslan and Samorodnitsky (2007), Alparslan (2009)
- Mikosch and Samorodnitsky (2000) associate the ‘classical’ decay rate $\psi(u) = O(u^{-(\alpha-1)})$ with short-range dependence and the decay rate $\psi(u) = O(u^{-\nu})$ with exponent $\nu < \alpha - 1$ with long-range dependence of the claim sequence $\{Y_t\}$
- For stationary increments of linear α -stable fractional motion with self-similarity parameter $H \in (1/\alpha, 1)$, Mikosch and Samorodnitsky (2000) obtained a decay rate $\psi(u) \sim (\text{constant}) u^{-\alpha(1-H)}$ (different from (16))
- A natural problem is to extend the last result to the mixed α -stable moving average process $\{\bar{X}_t\}$

THEOREM 4 *Let $0 < b < \alpha - 1$, $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ be symmetric. Then*

$$\psi(u) \sim \frac{K(\alpha, b)}{c^{H\alpha}} u^{-\alpha(1-H)}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty,$$

where:

$$K(\alpha, b) := (\phi_1/b) \int_0^\infty z^b g^\alpha(z) dz + (\phi_1/\alpha) \int_0^\infty w^{b-1} g^\alpha(w) dw,$$

$$H := 1 - \frac{b}{\alpha} \in \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1\right) \quad (\text{the asymptotic self-similarity index}),$$

$$g(w) := \sup_{z>0} \frac{1 - e^{-z}}{w + z} \quad (\text{a continuous function with } g(0+) = 1, g(w) = O(1/w))$$

- The proof of Thm 4 uses the equivalence $\psi(u) \sim \psi_0(u)$ in Mikosch and Samorodnitsky (2000, Thm 2.5) to the heavy-tailed large deviation functional

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(u) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_W \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\sum_{t=1}^n f(v, t-s))_+^\alpha}{(u+nc)^\alpha} \mu(dv) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_W \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\sum_{t=1}^n f(v, t-s))_-^\alpha}{(u+nc)^\alpha} \mu(dv); \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_t = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_W f(v, t-s) M_s(dv)$ is a general mixed S α S moving average

- Samorodnitsky (2004) associated long memory with the rate of growth of maxima and partial maxima of a stationary α -stable process. Theorem 4.1 of the above paper says that partial maxima of an S α S process generated by a dissipative flow always grow at the rate $n^{1/\alpha}$. Therefore, the rate of growth of the sequence of partial maxima is incapable of discriminating between long memory and short memory in the aggregate process in (8), since this process is a particular case of the class of mixed moving averages generated by dissipative flows.

6. Concluding remarks

- Aggregation of simple AR(1) process with heavy-tailed noise leads to a natural class of “AR(1)” mixed stable moving averages [= limits of sums of independent α -stable AR(1) processes]. The dependence in the aggregated process is completely specified by the mixing distribution of the random AR(1) coefficient. If the mixing density has a power decay at the unit root $a = 1$, the aggregated α -stable process displays long memory which can be characterized according to several definitions.

- There exists a notable “1-1 correspondence” between dependence properties of the aggregated process $\{\bar{X}_t\}$ with mixing density $\phi(a) \sim \phi_1(1-a)^b$, $0 < b < \alpha - 1$ and the corresponding properties of α -stable moving average

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varepsilon_{t-j}, \quad c_j \sim c j^{-\beta}, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} < \beta < 1$$

The correspondence is effected through the equality of the asymptotic self-similarity indices:

$$1 - \frac{b}{\alpha} =: H_{\bar{X}} = H_Y := 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

In particular, the above correspondence between the parameters b and β is preserved in the decay rates of the codifference and the ruin probability.

- Limits of subordinated (nonlinear) functions?
- Invertibility?

References

- Alparslan, U.T., 2009. Exceedence of power barriers for integrated continuous-time stationary ergodic stable processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **41**, 874–892.
- Alparslan, U.T. and Samorodnitsky, G., 2007. Asymptotic analysis of exceedance probability with stationary stable steps generated by dissipative flows. *Scandinavian Actuarial J.* **78**, 1–28.
- Astrauskas, A., Levy, J.B. and Taqqu, M.S., 1991. The asymptotic dependence structure of the linear fractional Lévy motion. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **31**, 1–19.
- Azomahou, T.T., 2009. Memory properties and aggregation of spatial autoregressive models. *J. Statist. Plan. Inf.* **139**, 2581–2597.
- Celov, D., Leipus, R. and Philippe, A., 2007. Time series aggregation, disaggregation and long memory. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **47**, 379–393.
- Cioczek-Georges, R. and Mandelbrot, B.B., 1995. Stable fractal sums of pulses: the general case. Preprint. <http://yale.academia.edu/BenoitMandelbrot/Papers/21711>.
- Cioczek-Georges, R., Mandelbrot, B.B., Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M.S., 1995. Stable fractal sums of pulses: the cylindrical case. *Bernoulli* **1**, 201–216.
- Cox, D.R., 1984. Long-range dependence: A review. In *Statistics: An Appraisal*, eds H.A. David and H.T. David, Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, pp. 55–74.
- Ding, Z. and Granger, C.W.J., 1996. Modeling volatility persistence of speculative returns: a new approach. *J. Econometrics* **73**, 185–215.
- Giraitis, L., Leipus, R. and Surgailis, D., 2010. Aggregation of random coefficient GLARCH(1,1) process. *Econometric Theory* **26**, 406–425.
- Gonçalves, E. and Gouriéroux, C., 1988. Aggrégation de processus autoregressifs d'ordre 1. *Ann. Econom. Statist.* **12**, 127–149.
- Granger, C.W.J., 1980. Long memory relationship and the aggregation of dynamic models. *J. Econometrics* **14**, 227–238.
- Heyde, C.C. and Yang, Y., 1997. On defining long-range dependence. *J. Appl. Probab.* **34**, 939–944.

- Lamperti, J., 1962. Semi-stable stochastic processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 62–78.
- Lavancier, F., 2006. Long memory random fields. In: P. Bertail, P. Doukhan, P. Soulier (Eds.) *Dependence in Probability and Statistics*. Lecture Notes in Statistics, vol. 187. Springer, Berlin.
- Mikosch, T., 2003. Modelling dependence and tails of financial time series. In *Extreme Values in Finance, Telecommunications and the Environment*, eds B. Finkenstädt and H. Rootzén, Chapman and Hall, New York, pp. 185–286.
- Mikosch, T. and Samorodnitsky, G., 2000. Ruin probability with claims modeled by a stationary ergodic stable process. *Ann. Probab.* **28**, 1814–1851.
- Oppenheim, G. and Viano, M.-C., 2004. Aggregation of random parameters Ornstein-Uhlenbeck or AR processes: some convergence results. *J. Time Ser. Anal.* **25**, 335–350.
- Puplinskaitė, D. and Surgailis, D., 2009. Aggregation of random coefficient AR(1) process with infinite variance and common innovations. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **49**, 446–463.
- Robinson, P., 1978. Statistical inference for a random coefficient autoregressive model. *Scand. J. Statist.* **5**, 163–168.
- Rosiński, J., 1995. On the structure of stationary stable processes. *Ann. Probab.* **23**, 464–473.
- Samorodnitsky, G., 2004. Extreme value theory, ergodic theory and the boundary between short and long memory for stationary stable process. *Ann. Probab.* **32**, 1438–1468.
- Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M.S., 1994. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Surgailis, D., 1979. On the Markov property of a class of linear infinitely divisible fields. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth.* **49**, 293–311.
- Surgailis, D., Rosiński, J., Mandrekar, V. and Cambanis, S., 1992. Stable generalized moving averages. Preprint, University of North Carolina.
- Surgailis, D., Rosiński, J., Mandrekar, V. and Cambanis, S., 1993. Stable mixed moving averages. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **97**, 543–558.
- Teyssière, G., Kirman, A.P. (Eds.), 2007. *Long memory in economics*. Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg.

- Zaffaroni, P., 2004. Contemporaneous aggregation of linear dynamic models in large economies. *J. Econometrics* **120**, 75-102.
- Zaffaroni, P., 2007a. Aggregation and memory of models of changing volatility. *J. Econometrics* **136**, 237-249.
- Zaffaroni, P., 2007b. Contemporaneous aggregation of GARCH processes. *J. Time Ser. Anal.* **28**, 521-544.